

Interregional Production Cost Analysis Draft Scope of Work

Northeast Coordinated System Plan
IPSAC09
June 30, 2009



Introduction

- PJM, NYISO, and ISO-NE are working towards developing data bases for use in production cost studies
 - Each ISO/RTO uses different production cost models
 - Production Cost Models vary in level of complexity and data requirements, from “policy” or screening level analysis to detailed network analysis that can align with actual nodal market operation tools
 - Data bases are being coordinated and developed in a two step effort
 - Build “bubble” or high level model database
 - Coordinate and build common database from existing planning level databases at three ISOs that can be used for equivalent interregional efforts, better external representations in own studies
- IPSAC is being asked to review the scope of work of proposed interregional production cost analysis

Background



Required Assumptions

- Resource Expansions, Retirements, and Replacements
 - Amounts
 - Locations
 - Types and profiles
 - Capital Costs
 - Performance
- Dispatch and Emissions
 - Fuel prices and dispatch costs
 - Emission rates and cost adders
- Transmission Interface Limits
- Load
 - Levels and Profiles

Solution

- Creation of a new production cost database for interregional planning
 - ISO/RTOs have ability to share information
 - Low cost compared with other options
- Meets a need for studies across the three regions
 - Helps ensure that internal databases are accurate
 - Will give many studies inherent coordination by working from common source material

Data Sources

- EIA 860 data
 - 2008 data, heat rates from 1995
- FERC Fips Codes
- NERC GADS summary
- ISO/RTO information/databases

Load Forecast

- Assumed loads verified on a per-region basis
 - ISO New England region based on 2009 ten-year forecast
 - NYISO region based on Load & Capacity Data Report
 - PJM region based on 2009 forecast report

Production Cost Models

Production Cost Tools

- High level simplified representation
 - Resources dispatch and commitment may not be detailed
 - Loads are aggregated into subareas (a.k.a. bubbles)
 - Transmission constraints are represented as transportation limits on major interfaces
 - Offers advantages of understanding of system performance and “seeing the forest for the trees”
- Detailed representation
 - More explicit resource dispatch and commitment
 - Transmission constraints are explicitly modeled and not limited to major interfaces
 - Provides detailed understanding of system performance
- Need to balance detailed analysis with “broad perspective” analysis

IREMM

High Level Production Cost Program

IREMM Simulation Tool

- Simplified production simulation model
 - Focus on production and marginal cost of energy to customers
 - Developed by simulating salient factors of the power system
 - Customer loads
 - Resources
 - Generating units
 - Other resources
 - Transmission limitations and or constraints between areas
 - Choice of fuels based on relative price and conversion efficiencies
 - Estimated emissions can be derived from these simulations
- Designed for broad production cost studies with reasonable level of detail

Modeling Approach - Framework

- Designed to simulate the key aspects of the electric sector over its entire geographic scope:
 - Electric generation infrastructure across North America
 - Major transmission limitations across North America
- Allows analysis of market place fundamentals
 - Supply and demand balance
 - Effects of exogenous influences on fundamentals can be seen
- Currently configured to allow
 - 180 areas
 - 15,000 resources
 - 100 transmission limits

Modeling Approach - Resources

- Typically single block dispatch representation of generating resources is used
 - Forced Outage Rate (EFOR) and scheduled outage factor (SOF)
 - Single heat rate for entire unit
 - Multi-block representations are available
 - Multi-block bidding strategies
 - Multi-block heat rates
 - Multi-block NOx emission rates
- Primary and alternate fuels
 - Each fuel can have a distinct variable O&M cost
 - Each fuel can have a different NOx emission rate
 - Switch based on monthly fuel prices

Modeling Approach - Load

- Hourly load model for all areas
 - Input monthly peaks and energy
 - Scale hourly load to meet target peaks and energy
- Loads increased over time to match input summer peak, winter peak and annual energy
- All loads can be perturbed
 - To reflect higher or lower loads
 - After maintenance schedule to see effect of unexpected weather

Modeling Approach – Dispatch Simulation

- Chronological simulation
 - Limited ability to represent unit commitment in response to prices
 - Pumped storage pumping / generating based on heuristics
 - Conventional hydro load adjustment based on its monthly energy
 - Wind can be modeled by inputting hourly wind profile
- Operating reserve can be specified
 - In terms of MWs and / or
 - Percent of load
- Dispatch simulation
 - Derated dispatch reflecting average EFOR
 - Monte-Carlo representation of EFOR can be specified as an alternative

Modeling Approach - Emissions

- Emissions based on energy production and fuel used
 - CO2 emissions based on fuel's carbon characteristics
 - SO2 based on fuel used with ability for each unit to have unique emission rate
 - NOx emission rate based on
 - Unit specific emission rates for primary and alternate fuels
 - Rates may be changed over time
- Environmental control technology models included
 - SCR for NOX control and
 - Scrubbers for SO2
- Can provide insights into emissions from areas

Transmission Congestion

- Congestion is caused by:
 - Imbalance in the location of supply vis-a-vis demand
 - Demand for electricity is a function of many factors:
 - Customer type
 - Day of the week
 - Hour of the day
 - Season of the year
 - Weather
 - etc.
- Supply of electricity may be available, but
 - transmission may not be sufficient to transport to demand
 - fewer suppliers that can deliver increases market concentration
 - increased concentration leads to ability to influence prices

Modeling Results

- Typical results include
 - Marginal prices
 - Congestion
 - Fuel consumption by type
 - Technology utilization
 - Emissions
 - CO₂
 - SO₂
 - NO_x

Modeling Results (continued)

- Various ways to summarize results
 - Systemwide
 - Subareas
 - Hourly
 - Monthly
 - Annual
- Output easily extracted to MS Excel format

Detailed Production Cost Programs used For Long Term Planning

What are these programs?

- Detailed production-cost simulation tools
 - Examples: MAPS, GridView, PROMOD
 - Designed for modeling complicated transmission systems
 - Interactions of resources, load and transmission
 - Simulate nodal markets into the future

What are these programs? (continued)

- Minimum cost security-constrained unit commitment and dispatch (SCUC/ED) algorithms;
 - Commits and dispatches units emulating market and security operations
 - Ensures that transmission line limits are not violated
 - Under normal conditions
 - Contingency conditions
- Appropriate for detailed investigations
 - When looking for the forest, use the “wide-screen” approach
 - When looking for trees, use the “zoom-in” approach

What they can do?

- Production cost calculation to mimic system operations in the current market environment
- Commit units to provide transmission system security
- Market Simulator to provide market price signals
 - Projection of congestion, load payments, gen payments
 - Other market metrics such as TCCs, FTRs, ancillary services

What they can do? (continued)

- Allows unit commitment issues to be investigated
 - Quantify tradeoff between
 - Unit commitment to protect against contingencies or
 - Quick start resources
 - Effect of transmission upgrades can be considered

Capabilities for Transmission

- Transmission studies can focus on:
 - Explicit contingency lists
 - Asset utilization
 - Bottleneck identification
 - Congestion mitigation optimization
 - Market based probabilistic reliability assessment
 - Transmission expansion planning and alternative evaluation
 - Identification and economic assessment of transmission projects
- Transmission considerations may impact
 - Emissions
 - LMPs
 - Production cost
 - Others

Capabilities for Generation

- Generation studies
 - Plant siting and cycle optimization
 - Bidding strategy assessment
 - Asset evaluation and management
 - Portfolio optimization and risk management
 - Plant market performance analysis
 - Generation interconnection evaluation
- Generation type, location and business strategies can affect emissions

Model Power Network Detail

- Supply:
 - Generators locations (existing and future)
 - Incremental heat rates
 - Fuel cost
 - Operation constraints
 - Emission rates (including SO₂, NO_x, CO₂)
 - Forced outage rates
 - Bidding information (if applicable)
- Demand:
 - Spatial load distribution over time
- Transmission system:
 - Bus-level load flow model,
 - Contingencies
 - Interfaces

Ability to Model Emissions

- Three options to model emissions
 - Simple Cost Adder
 - Emission cost adders are user's inputs
 - Calculated Emission Cost Model
 - Based on the emission rates of the units and input allowance price, calculates the cost adders
 - Iteration for Allowance
 - Determine an allowance price which limits emissions to a pre-specified cap (defined by areas, regions, or system)
- Seasonal/monthly allowance price can be modeled
- Single emission rate for each resource

Scope of Work

Study Efforts

- NYISO/PJM targeted analysis
 - Previously discussed
 - Will include detailed models using the PROMOD program
- Overall “three” system analysis
 - Our current focus
 - Will initiate IREMM analysis
 - Detailed simulation work to follow
 - Coordination with each ISO’s own studies

Scope of Work - IREMM

- Represent the three ISO/RTO's and neighboring systems with a less detailed model
- Conduct production cost analysis to identify where major interfaces are constraining interregional transfers
- Relax the limits of the constrained interfaces in postulated increments of 500 MW to 1000 MW

Scope of Work – IREMM (continued)

- Run additional cases that assume higher interface limits for various combinations of interfaces
 - Will restrict the total number of simulations
- Show impact on production costs and other metrics for all cases
 - LSE expenses
 - Fuel usage
 - Emissions

Schedule

- IREMM
 - Finalize coordinated database
 - Conduct IREMM simulation results
 - Present to IPSAC 4th Quarter 2009
- Detailed Production Cost Analysis
 - Develop coordinated database for testing
 - Provide IPSAC progress report 4th Quarter 2009
 - Conduct production cost analysis and discuss with IPSAC by 2nd Quarter 2010
- Conduct detailed transmission analysis as may be warranted
 - Scope of work to be discussed with IPSAC 4th Quarter 2009

